

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Edward M. Seamans

v.

Temple University

Case No.: 2:11-cv-06774-SD

BILL OF COSTS

Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on 10/25/2012 against Edward M. Seamans,
Date
 the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:

Fees of the Clerk	\$ _____
Fees for service of summons and subpoena	_____
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessarily obtained for use in the case	<u>2,464.10</u>
Fees and disbursements for printing	_____
Fees for witnesses (<i>itemize on page two</i>)	<u>0.00</u>
Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of any materials where the copies are necessarily obtained for use in the case.	<u>144.25</u>
Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. 1923	_____
Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals	_____
Compensation of court-appointed experts	_____
Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation services under 28 U.S.C. 1828	_____
Other costs (<i>please itemize</i>)	_____
TOTAL	\$ <u>2,608.35</u>

SPECIAL NOTE: Attach to your bill an itemization and documentation for requested costs in all categories.

Declaration

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing costs are correct and were necessarily incurred in this action and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed. A copy of this bill has been served on all parties in the following manner:



Electronic service



First class mail, postage prepaid



Other: _____

s/ Attorney: _____

Name of Attorney: Richard J. Perr

For: Temple University
Name of Claiming Party

Date: 11/13/2012

Taxation of Costs

Costs are taxed in the amount of _____ and included in the judgment.

By: _____

*Clerk of Court**Deputy Clerk**Date*

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1	Client:	8374								
2	Matter:	00001	Seamans v. Temple							
3										
4		<u>Date</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Expense Description</u>						
5		06/12/2012	\$ 119.00	Photocopy						
6		04/19/2012	25.25	Photocopy						
7		05/24/2012	990.65	SUMMIT COURT REPORTING; Invoice # 44387; Court Reporter Services						
8		05/24/2012	794.55	SUMMIT COURT REPORTING; Invoice # 44315; Court Reporter Services						
9		05/30/2012	206.15	SUMMIT COURT REPORTING; Invoice # 44514; Court Reporter Services						
10		05/30/2012	472.75	SUMMIT COURT REPORTING; Invoice # 44520; Court Reporter Services						
11			<u>\$2,608.35</u>	TOTAL						

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, RICHARD J. PERR, ESQUIRE, hereby certify that on or about this date, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing electronically, or by first class mail, postage prepaid, or telecopy on the following:

Mark D. Mailman, Esquire
Gregory J. Gorski, Esquire
Francis & Mailman, P.C.
Land Title Building, 19th Floor
100 South Broad Street
Philadelphia, PA 19110
(v) 215-735-8600; (f) 215-940-8000
mmailman@consumerlawfirm.com
ggorski@consumerlawfirm.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

/S/ Richard J. Perr

RICHARD J. PERR

Dated: November 13, 2012